Thursday, May 10

Josh C.    Good discussion
Jim L.     has entered the room
DeWayne has entered the room
John B.    has entered the room
Jim C.     Hello all.
Kenneth C. has entered the room
Josh C.  I fall into the category of the young intellectual who disagrees, to a point, with the panel regarding education versus training.

Austin S.  has entered the room
John B.  Hello to all.
Jim C.  Feel free to begin chatting, or to bounce any technical questions off of me.

Brad M.  has entered the room
Adina S.  has entered the room
Bob P.  has entered the room
Trish S.  has entered the room
Edward M.  has entered the room

Josh C.  I have a master’s degree in forensic science and I have around three years experience in latent print identification.

Jim C.  You can share url links, such as http://www.innovations.harvard.edu/

Aimee O.  has entered the room
deuby  has entered the room
stephen m.  has entered the room
Shannon w.  has entered the room
Gayle P.  has entered the room

Jim C.  You can also use the "Upload a file" link on the right side of your screen to upload pictures, pdf’s etc.

Linda G.  has entered the room
Josh C.  I believe that while experience is very important, the panel highlighted on the fact that there are fewer and fewer qualified latent print examiners. The people that will be taking their place have little or no experience in real-world latent examinations.

Jim C.  …for people to share

John B.  I am a product manager for Motorola’s AFIS system, and have been an observer at the IAI AFIS Committee which Peter Komarinski chairs.
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David H.  has entered the room
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Jim L.  Their opinion is no discredit to your training or experience, but yours is a discredit to those who have practiced latent print examination over a number of years without the degree.

mike  has entered the room
Dawn B.  has entered the room
Adina S.  Hi. I’m Adina Schwartz, a professor at John Jay College of Criminal Justice. I’m interested in other people’s reactions to Michael C. and Peter K.’s responses to the questions about the statistical basis for fingerprint identification.

Arapahoe C.  has entered the room
Josh C.  I would rather put someone in a chair to perform latent print examinations with three years experience and a college degree than someone with three years experience and no college.

KSG  has entered the room
Jim C.  Discussion is under way (for those just joining us)…
John B.  Josh, what does the college degree add to proficiency?
| **Jim L.** | me too, but I place a greater weight on the experience, as the panel shared. Give me a person with 7 years experience over someone with three years experience and a 4-year degree. |
| **Josh C.** | A person going through college and further has the technical training on doing scientific examinations sort of similar to real world experience. |
| **deuby** | I have a degree, but don’t believe that makes someone a better examiner |
| **Paul M.** | Latent examination boils down to one thing...experience. The more you do it, the better you get. The less you do it, the more you forget. |
| **Jim C.** | Please feel free to introduce yourself, your organization, and perhaps your reactions to the event that just wrapped up. |
| **Austin S.** | But would a board certification (like the IAI’s) transcend the presence or lack of a college degree held by an individual? |
| **Josh C.** | I’m not saying that a degree makes someone a better examiner, I’m just saying that the shoes I must fill as a latent examiner would be better filled by someone with a degree versus someone without (based on both having the same training) |
| **Edward M.** | I think that the degree requirement is currently a way to cover the lack of preparation of examiners to answer the the scientific basis questions. In other words to add additional credibility. |
| **Linda G.** | I have found that my Associates degree in Criminal Justice has been an asset to my position especially in being declared an expert witness in court. |
| **Josh C.** | Again, I am not trying to toot my own horn, I've been a huge believer in learning from an experienced person rather than someone who reads something in a book and then teaches it becuase the university where they work says they can becuase of the PhD after their name. |
| **Gayle P.** | Experience is the only thing that will work. A degree doesn't add or detract anything. |
| **John B.** | I'd like to hear Stephen Meagher's thoughts; I see he's in the conference. |
| **Josh C.** | I will agree with Edward M. |
| **Aimee O.** | Josh C. are you saying that you feel as though a Latent Examiner with a college degree maybe looks more impressive to a jury???? |
| **Josh C.** | no, not necessarily. |
| **10-May 4:05 PM** | **Jim C.** For those who joined more recently, you may share url links, such like so | http://www.innovations.harvard.edu/ |
| **Jim L.** | Education is a benefit and cannot be dismissed. My concern would be the dismissal of the experience of tenprint examiners or crime scene technicians who have some level of experience to build upon. |
| **Josh C.** | I'm saying that becuase there are fewer and fewer experienced latent examiners, we as "newbies" will look and perform better with the rigors of college behind us versus someone off the street with the same training. |
| **mike** | I agree - a degree adds legitimacy in the sense of illustrating a commitment to process |
| **Edward M.** | Another question would be should the degree be specifically related to fingerprints and science, or would a degree in children's education would suffice? |
But only a science degree/

I guess what I’m saying is this: give me two people, both with three years of latent print examination training, and one has a college degree and one does not, give me the one with the degree.

Re sharing links - anyone interested in Daubert challenges may be interested in visiting Ed German’s page on the subject, at http://www.onin.com/fp/daubert_links.html

Choosing between two people to train from scratch with no experience, I would prefer someone with a degree in science...no doubt.

Josh C. Those four years spent earning a degree would be better spent looking at prints.

No, it has to be a physical science type of degree. Criminal justice degrees need not apply unless you want to be a lawyer.

How about 2 people, one with 10 years/no degree, and one with 3 years/degree

What about the fingerprint identification research that is currently going on?

the more experienced, of course.

As a bit of background, I am in college and working on my degree but I have 16 years in ten print identification and seven years in latent print identification.

Arapahoe, are you talking about Fast Catch, or other research?

No, does anyone have any information about any current research in the fingerprint identification field?

I work in Omaha NE with the Douglas County Sheriff and our little old department of around 210 sworn and approximately 12 civilian CSI’s (we wear a ton of hats in our division) requires all new CSI hires to have a four degree at least.

Do you all think that that is a bad requirement>

Gayle - Four years of studying the human condition and the various ways one can become subconsciously prejudiced against a print or an individual are a far more important foundation for a career examining prints.

Arapahoe, are you familiar with the various ongoing tests that NIST is involved in? http://fingerprint.nist.gov/

Perhaps, if we had a certification standard that MUST be attained before one can be considered a Latent Print Examiner this would over-ride whether or not someone attained a degree?

But by a private organization?

It's currently not a requirement for our state although I am half-way through the process of becoming IAI certified.

It includes some info on NIJ funded research, and the slides that John Morgan was showing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>John B.</th>
<th>One of the most important things that NIST is doing right now is sponsoring work on interoperability between AFIS systems, which becomes more important as AFIS is used for border security, etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Josh C.</td>
<td>Our other latent examiners are not IAI certified but they have (because of their lengthy experience) been court-qualified as an expert. Myself, on the other hand, will need the IAI cert to testify and look as good as the experienced examiners for my testimony to carry the same weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adina S.</td>
<td>has left the room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| John B. | [Also evaluation of latent fingerprint technologies. Direct link is](http://fingerprint.nist.gov/latent/elft07/)  
| Arapahoe C. | Does anyone know of any statistical research going on? |
| Mary S. | has entered the room                                                                |
| Gayle P. | I am not knocking education. I have a degree and I am IAI certified. I even spent several years as a fingerprint classifier, but nothing prepared me for latent print work except my years in training looking at actual latent prints. |
| Josh C. | It's an unfortunate dogma in this profession.                                                                                 |
| Jim L. | Certification is important, but you can't take or pass a certification test without experience. Where will that experience come from if you cannot practice without certification. |
| John B. | Arapahoe, can't think of any statistical research offhand, sorry.                                                            |
| Josh C. | So, gayle, when do you think that I will be able to competently testify to latent prints knowing that I have only three years experience but a masters degree> (Does the degree play any part in your decision?) |
| Paul M. | has left the room                                                                                                           |
| Rob H. | has entered the room                                                                                                        |
| Jim L. | I believe the NIJ is currently offering a grant for someone to complete studies on statistical data related to friction ridge impressions. |
| Josh C. | I'm getting the experience now, but in two years, our latent examiners will be retired.                                   |
| Gayle, | Gayle, I think you are on point. I also started in classification, to prepare for latent work. I think a lot of new examiners don't have that experience and it hurts them. |
| Mary S. | The only knock I have against the education part is that too many agencies stress education over experience.          |
| Edward M. | I agree with deuby  
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| Josh C. | Let me pose this question: What person will switch jobs half-way through their career and take a pay cut? |
| Gayle P. | Jim L. our department requires training under a certified examiner. The trainees "work" cases that are then worked by a certified examiner. |
| Josh C. | They will have more experience, but they won't be compensated for that experience.                                           |
| DeWayne | has left the room                                                                                                           |
| Josh C. | What about a department that doesn't have a certified examiner. (Ours?)                                                       |
| Linda G. | When I began my career we did it all - classification and latent examination so I have been fortunate.                    |
Edward M.  
With our department the move from ten print to latents is equal to a promotion.

Jim L.  
Without a degree, they must do that for a number of years before they can be certified. Is it 5?

Gayle P.  
Josh C. without knowing what type of training you have had I can't say when you would be competent enough to work cases and/or testify in court.

John B.  
Jim L. is this the friction ridge study to which you were referring? http://www.latentprints.com/
deuby  
A degree does not make you competent
Josh C.  
classification, latent identification, palm identification
John B.  
View paste

The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) has identified the need for validation of the basis for friction ridge individualization and standardization of comparison criteria.

Edward M.  
Jim L. The IAI requires 1 year full latent experience per year of college required.

Austin S.  
We are in the same boat Josh C. and we have worked out an agreement with our state system where we run a local database and forward "similar" prints to them for analysis. This allows us hands-on activity with latents and also allows a certified latent print examiner to sign off on the comparison analysis.

John B.  
The grant funding for this solicitation was recalled and the solicitation was rewritten to include all pattern evidence sciences. This does not infer that friction ridge individualization validation is not needed.

Dawn B.  
has left the room
Josh C.  
That's actually a good idea, Austin. How did you get that system set up?

mary s.  
Our agency requires 2 years of training under an examiner with at least 5 years experience in latent examination. At the current time, I am the only examiner here that meets that requirement. And I agree with deuby-a degree alone does not make you a competent examiner.

LeAnne D.  
has entered the room
Jim L.  
So the examiner without a degree would need a total of how many years to be certified?

Austin S.  
We have a local AFIX system and (having worked at the state level in the CSI capacity) I was able to set up an agreement with them. It lightens their workload as well.
Josh C.  
I don't think I've ever said that a degree only make you competent in latent examination.
Edward M.  
I believe that it is 7
Josh C.  
I'm saying that two people with equal training, one with a degree, give me the degree.
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John B.  
Austin, you touch on a good point. Many of the issues regarding interoperability are not technological, but legal. Any thoughts on how to best secure interagency agreements?

Jim L.  
Of course, Josh. To say anything else would be saying the degree is useless.
Edward M.  
Sorry Jim L. I meant 5
Jim C.  
has left the room
TechSupportKSG has entered the room

Jim L.  
Is it college and 1 year experience?

Josh C.  
I just want to let everyone here know that I am trying to be humble about my education (although past coments by myself appear otherwise); I'm merely trying to spark a little debate on what young people like myself face when trying to break into this career.

deuby  
Josh, so who will take that pay cut/

Gayle P.  
Josh C. Just because two people have had the same training doesn't make them equally competent. Some people just don't have the eye for it. I would give them each prints to compare and see who did the best job.

Greg D. has entered the room

mary s.  
Josh-so you are saying that someone with over 20 years of experience and no degree is worth less than someone with 2 years experience with a degree?

Josh C.  
I would agree, Gayle

Josh C.  
no no no Mary.

Jim L.  
Let's talk about the presentation...

Bob P.  
has left the room

Edward M.  
A degree is never useless. I have found that few degree programs teach you how but rather teach you why. This makes you think and gather the necessary information on your own, a great life lesson.

Josh C.  
read my past comments, Gayle. two people, same training and experience, one with a degree. Give me the degree

Linda G.  
The presentation was great and I am looking forward to the next one.

Austin S.  
Please comment on the legal aspects you are talking about Josh B.

Josh C.  
Sorry, Mary, not Gayle.

Jim L.  
It seemed more like a "State of the Field" discussion than a look forward into areas of research.

TechSupportKSG  
I agree with Jim L. Can we get more reactions to the presentations earlier?

Josh C.  
Sorry about getting the group off topic.

mary s.  
I felt that there were some worthwhile topics discussed during the presentation particularly when they did the compare and contrast with latents vs. DNA.

deuby  
Love the idea of web based presentations. Had a problem with the audio so missed about half of it.

mike  
All - Michael Campbell said a particular state's AFFIS system had some high percentage - (45%?) of degraded, unusable images. Does anyone know what state he was referring to?

Jim L.  
The conversation about education vs. experience is not off topic, because the point was raised that there is a shortage of qualified examiners. However, there was more to the presentation.
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Josh C.  
our old AFIS system captured at 500dpi. We've just upgraded to 1000dpi so it's probably Nebraska

10-May 4:25 PM

Austin S.  
agreed Mary - I have been bombarded with that question recently while trying to fund and build a latent print lab
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Brad M. has left the room
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rob h.  any further ideas for improvement to quality of prints on livescan

Greg D.  Hello everyone. I would argue that both can be looked upon as equal in value however when testifying in court, I would further argue that most jurors would tend to look at the letters behind someone’s name and view that as more impressive or substantial.

Jim L.  The new technology looked great, but how will that be funded when nearly every agency in the state converted to live-scan in the last 10 years?

Edward M.  that comes down to the motivation of the person taking the prints and their immediate supervisors who are many times not fingerprint examiners.

mary s.  I’m not sure what state he was discussing, however, I have noticed that some of the images in our ten-print database were not very good. I am not sure if this was due to age degradation or poorly entered data.

deuby  From a personal observation, a lot of the prints that get placed the database are of poor quality, and that stems for those capturing that prints and not understanding the importance of clarity.

Jim L.  Actually, Greg, you may have heard in the presentation that in Charles Ilsley’s study jurors were more impressed with experience and training than education.

John B.  Isn’t there a huge problem with personnel turnover at livescan sites, that has an impact on quality?

Austin S.  we had to conduct retraining for many of those capturing ten-print images. A lot of times if you show them the end product in action (i.e. ten/latent search) it will open their eyes to what a quality capture can provide.

Gayle P.  The only way to improve quality of input is to have the systems requirements at a higher level.

Greg D.  I read an article recently that discussed the town of Henderson, NV using private and corporate funding for the proposed lab there to take off some of the weight put on the Las Vegas lab.

mike  thanks mary and jim...mary what state do you work in?

Arapahoe C.  has left the room

Greg D.  Jim L. I would argue that it is probably more accurate to say or include both.

Josh C.  Well, a good discussion so far. I apologize to anyone who was offended by my comments. I merely wanted some to see what young people face when entering this field and how hard it is to get respect (of course, it has to be deserving!). I appreciate all of your feedback and I hope to either see you in a training class or be taught by you. THanks.

Jim L.  I saw no disrespect in response to your posts, Josh

mary s.  I’m in Wyoming
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Edward M.  I think in some cases you are right Austin S. but for our agency many of the clerks who take the prints are at the peak of their career. In other words they qualified for the entry position but are not willing to work towards advancement.

John B.  Greg, Henderson has a huge challenge because of their rapid population growth. It sounds like they hit on a good funding method.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Message</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Austin S.</strong></td>
<td>I would like to see more grants available for training within the field of forensics. It seems that I see grants available for already established labs but few for agencies trying to start labs and train individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>mike</strong></td>
<td>Thanks Mary!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Josh C.</strong></td>
<td>Jim, I didn't necessarily mean in this discussion, but in our area with the courts and attorneys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>John B.</strong></td>
<td>Austin, the IAI participates in the CFSO and tries to lobby for funding in that way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>deuby</strong></td>
<td>I agree Josh, no disrespect. Does anyone know when the archive will be available for this presentation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>John B.</strong></td>
<td>archive in 2 days I think</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Austin S.</strong></td>
<td>I would like to see more online meetings like this. Perhaps even online training seminars in latent print examination. That should be easily accomplished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KSG</strong></td>
<td>The archive will be available (along with the slides) on Monday. Visit <a href="http://www.innovations.harvard.edu">http://www.innovations.harvard.edu</a> and click on “expert chats” on the left hand side of the page. You will have to register but it is free and easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Edward M.</strong></td>
<td>I agree Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jim L.</strong></td>
<td>I appreciated Mike Campbell's discussion about barriers to maximizing the usefulness of fingerprints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greg D.</strong></td>
<td>As do I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>deuby</strong></td>
<td>the FBI was supposed to start some free online courses. Not sure whatever happened.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gayle P.</strong></td>
<td>Josh, I don't think anyone was offended. We have all been in your position (just starting out). Just letting you know how it would be handled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>John B.</strong></td>
<td>There was an organization (forgot its name) that organized seminars like this, but they weren't necessarily from a criminal/forensic perspective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>mary s.</strong></td>
<td>Josh-I hope you don't take offense to my comments. I was hoping this would be a lively discussion (which it has been)--Unfortunately out here in the &quot;boonies&quot;, I don't get to network with other examiners much and at times I like to play the devil's advocate. I am kind of &quot;old school&quot; and started out in ten-prints and worked my way up to latents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>John B.</strong></td>
<td>What was final statistic on number of forensic examiners in this conference - it was 52% last I checked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Austin S.</strong></td>
<td>has left the room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KSG</strong></td>
<td>The poll results will also be available with the archive on Monday. I can't remember the final number. Apologies....</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>mike c.</strong></td>
<td>has entered the room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aimee O.</strong></td>
<td>Josh, also keep in mind that EVERYONE in this field has to get up on the stand ONE time and say &quot;this is my FIRST time testifying to latent examination&quot;. We all have to do it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-May</td>
<td>4:35 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jim</strong></td>
<td>has entered the room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jim L.</strong></td>
<td>Our state has recently begun proactively offering latent print processing training to the local PDs and SOs in the state. Are any other states offering similar training?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>John B.</strong></td>
<td>Look forward to seeing poll numbers, thanks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Josh C.**  Again, thanks for everyone's feedback. I want to succeed at my job and I really appreciate the feedback. Since our division has only 8 full time CSI's responsible for a plethora of duties, we are all (in some form or another) responsible for latent exams. Of course with Ace-V, we are guaranteed a qualified review, but soon even those people will be gone.

**Jim**  has left the room

**Greg D.**  I'm just starting out as well, 4 months left to go in the Illinois State Police's formal 2-year training program in Latent Prints. Currently working on supervised casework and doing mock trials where we face some of the current issues and legal challenges.

**Edward M.**  Josh and others just getting started. The field is about to explode with opportunity. Many of us will be retiring soon, 40 months for me. Experience will come and as long as you remember the basics you will prevail.

**Josh C.**  I have chosen to become the new (in a couple of years) the lead latent guy (with the permission from my boss, of course) and I just want to make sure that I am qualified as an examiner as well as experienced enough to satisfy jurors in court.

**Greg D.**  You and I both Josh.

**Josh C.**  Good luck to you, Greg.

**Josh C.**  And all of the others just beginning.

**Greg D.**  And to you as well.

**John B.**  Edward, what do you think will happen if the current group of examiners retires, and there aren't enough people to replace them?

**Greg D.**  Ditto.

**deuby**  Jim L. We train some of our officers for print processing. Not very in depth, but the basics. Some do fairly well, but I would still rather leave it to the full time forensic techs.

**Gayle P.**  Jim L. Our department offers training to any who request it. We also do training at the police academy.

**Jim L.**  What department Gayle?

**Jim L.**  Deuby?

**Josh C.**  Just a quick question before I go, how many sworn latent examiners and how many civilian?

**deuby**  St Petersburg

**Gayle P.**  Alabama Bureau of Investigation

**Gayle P.**  civilian

**Michelle S.**  Civilian, here

**deuby**  civilian

**Jim L.**  Mike Campbell expressed that inadequacies in processing techniques and lack of access to training, especially in local agencies, are a barrier to success.

**Linda G.**  I am civilian but have been sworn in the past

**Edward M.**  At Shelby County Sheriff's Office in Memphis Tennessee all fingerprint examiners both ten print and latent are civilian

**Jim L.**  Civilian here

**mary s.**  Josh-the best advice I can give anyone relatively new to the field is that you should pick the brains of the old guys (and gals)-chances are they have a lot of info that will help you. Don't be afraid to ask questions. Try to attend conferences and get names of other examiners-most people will be more than happy to help out with any problems you may have.

**Aimee O.**  Civilian
Trish S. has left the room

debby Thanks for an interesting chat. Good luck to you all.

Kenneth C. Sworn
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Aimee O. Edward M, I'm just down the road from you in Jackson.

Josh C. wow, lots of fellow civies-good deal. And thanks for the advice, mary S. I think the boys around our 'shop' are getting sick of my questions. But they're going to keep getting them until their retirement party.

David H. Sworn currently retired working in Iraq in the Police Mission
Edward M. One thing I would suggest to the new guys is brush up on your public speaking skills.

John B. Do what you can to have your bosses let you attend conferences - local IAI, international IAI, AFIS vendor conferences, whatever.

Edward M. Aimee O. TN or MS
Aimee O. Sorry Edward M. Jackson TN
Edward M. We do latent work for approximately 15 agencies in TN, AR and MS

Edward M. Okay

Greg D. All of the latent print examiners in the State Police's lab system are civilian or code, as they call us, employees. I estimate that it is about 50 of us spread across the state in 7 labs.

Jim L. You have 50 latent print examiners for the state of Illinois?

Gayle P. Edward I agree wholeheartedly. I actually took a continuing education course at a local high school, Public Speaking. It was conducted by the Toastmasters.

mary s. Another good source of info is the CLPEX website and Ed German's website. Also, I am civilian and our lab covers the whole state of Wyoming. Currently we only have 2 qualified latent examiners and I am training one examiner and one technician.

Edward M. I just joined a local toastmasters group and it is one of the best career decisions I have made

Josh C. Thanks, all, and goodbye.

Greg D. John B. I wish it was about that simple. Illinois and ISP Command are real tight with funding and time off to attend such events.

rob h. one way to improve public speaking is to encourage talks/presentations to schools and community groups if you have the time

Linda G. Thank you everyone it has been informative.

John B. Ed German's website, by the way, is http://www.onin.com/fp/ - highly recommended

Gayle P. I have enjoyed the chat but I have to go. Good luck to all.

Jim L. has left the room

Carol H. has entered the room

Greg D. Jim L. I may have over-estimated a bit. I can give you a current roster head count in about two minutes.

Edward M. Teaching the Boy Scout Fingerprint Merit badge offers both public speaking and fingerprint basics
10-May 4:45 PM

Aimee O. Edward M., I'd be very interested in any training opportunities in your area or at the Department if you wouldn't mind keeping me informed. [contact info removed for privacy]
Edward M. Great my email is [contact info removed for privacy]
Christine B. has entered the room
TechSupportKSG NOTICE: The chat room will be closing in five minutes.
Christine B. Hello all!
Aimee O. Thanks Edward - I'll be e-mailing you tomorrow....Look for me.
Edward M. Many of the classes I have attended have been through the TN division of the IAI or the MS division.
John B. Nice chatting with you all. (John Bredehoft, Motorola)
mary s. Great chatting with you folks. Hope I didn't offend anyone. If you ever need anything from out in this area my email is [contact info removed for privacy]
Edward M. I look forward to it
John B. has left the room
David H. has left the room
LeAnne D. has left the room
mike c. has left the room
Edward M. Great chat Good Luck to all of you
mary s. has left the room
Christine B. Where/When will the chat be posted??
TechSupportKSG NOTICE: The chat room will be closing in two minutes. Please take this opportunity to wrap up your comments to other audience members.
Greg D. Good luck to everyone. To the new examiners, we're just part of the bigger picture, a piece of the puzzle. We don't assign enemy or guilt, we just analyze the evidence given to us.
Christine B. has left the room
Edward M. Monday on the innovations home page link
TechSupportKSG REMINDER: The transcript of this chat will be made available on the Government Innovators Website. If you registered/attended the event...
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KSG has left the room
TechSupportKSG ... You will receive notice when it has been posted.
TechSupportKSG For privacy purposes...
Greg D. That's "innocence or guilt"
TechSupportKSG Your contact info will be removed before posting.
rob h. thanks folks see you all at the next one
Edward M. has left the room
Greg D. has left the room
TechSupportKSG THANKS EVERYONE FOR PARTICIPATING!!! Signing off...